

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,092	06/29/2005	Noboru Toyozawa	SON-2903	4260
23353 RADER FISH	7590 06/22/2009 MAN & GRAUER PLI	EXAMINER		
LION BUILDING			CHOW, YUK	
WASHINGTO	TREET N.W., SUITE 50 ON, DC 20036	1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/541,092	TOYOZAWA ET AL.	
Review		Art Unit	
	Amare Mengistu	2629	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Reque	est for Review filed .			
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is in reason(s):</li> </ol>	mproper and a conference will not be held for the following			
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been fi ☐ The request does not include reason ☐ A proposed amendment is included \( \) ☐ Other:				
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or fro the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.				
held. The application remains under appeal to is required to submit an appeal brief in accor- brief will be reset to be one month from maili- running from the receipt of the notice of appe	and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has be because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applica dance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an apper or githis decision, or the balance of the two-month time period bal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of 36 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt da			
☑ The panel has determined the statu Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 17-26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
<ol> <li>Allowable application – A conference Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the applicant at this time.</li> </ol>	has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of merits remains closed. No further action is required by			
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference laction will be mailed. No further action is rec	has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office quired by applicant at this time.			
All participants:				
(1) Amare Mengistu.	(3), Yuk (Joe) Chow.			
(2) Bipin Shalwala.	(4)			
/Amare Mengistu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629				

Part of Paper No. 20090617